

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REG'D PCT/PTG 02 SEP 2004

From the
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

PCT

To:

McCormack, Derek
MOTOROLA EUROPEAN INTELLECTUAL
PROPERTY OPERATIONS
Midpoint, Alencon Link
Basingstoke
Hampshire RG21 7PL
GRANDE BRETAGNE

05 DEC 2003

RECEIVED

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing
(day/month/year)

03.12.2003

Applicant's or agent's file reference
CM00443C

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No.
PCT/EP03/01873

International filing date (day/month/year)
24.02.2003

Priority date (day/month/year)
02.03.2002

Applicant
MOTOROLA INC et al.

1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

DO NOT
EIPD

09 DEC 2003

No Date Added

Name and mailing address of the international
preliminary examining authority:



European Patent Office
D-80298 Munich
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Authorized Officer

Touysserkani, T

Tel. +49 89 2399-2161



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT
(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference CM00443C	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/PEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/EP03/01873	International filing date (day/month/year) 24.02.2003	Priority date (day/month/year) 02.03.2002
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC H03F1/00		
Applicant MOTOROLA INC et al.		

1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.

☐ This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).

These annexes consist of a total of sheets.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

I ☒ Basis of the opinion

II ☐ Priority

III ☐ Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability



IV ☐ Lack of unity of invention

V ☒ Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

VI ☐ Certain documents cited

VII ☐ Certain defects in the international application

VIII ☐ Certain observations on the international application

Date of submission of the demand 01.09.2003	Date of completion of this report 03.12.2003
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:  European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	Authorized Officer Hartberger, J Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2193 <div style="text-align: right;">  </div>

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. PCT/EP03/01873

I. Basis of the report

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (*Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)*):

Description, Pages

1-23 as originally filed

Claims, Numbers

1-24 as originally filed

Drawings, Sheets

1/3-3/3 as originally filed

2. With regard to the **language**, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:

- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

- ☐ contained in the international application in written form.
☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
☐ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- ☐ the description, pages:
☐ the claims, Nos.:
☐ the drawings, sheets:

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. **PCT/EP03/01873**

5. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes: Claims	1-24
	No: Claims	
Inventive step (IS)	Yes: Claims	1-24
	No: Claims	
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: Claims	1-24
	No: Claims	

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Reference is made to the following document:

D1 : US-A-3 900 823

2. Document D1 is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art. D1, in particular in Figures 1, 9A-F, 10A-F, and 11A-D, and the description column 4, lines 58 to column 6, line 2, and column 22, line 26 to column 26, line 9, discloses an RF amplifier circuit, from which the subject-matter of Claim 1 differs in that the RF amplifier comprises an RF amplifying device which provides a Boolean output signal value of "0" or "1" dependent upon whether an RF input signal I is greater or less than a threshold signal T, and wherein the threshold signal is dynamically varied in a manner adapted to linearise the relationship in at least part of its range between the amplitude of the output signal O and the amplitude of the input signal I.

This solution is not known from the available prior art, in particular D1, nor is it rendered obvious therefrom.

The subject-matter of Claim 1 thus meets the requirement of novelty and inventive step according to Articles 33(2) and (3) PCT.

3. Claims 2 to 24 are dependent on Claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.
4. The industrial applicability of the subject-matters defined in Claims 1 to 24 is not doubted.
5. For the sake of completeness the following is noted:

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/EP03/01873

A document reflecting the prior art described on page 1, 2 and 12, is not identified in the description (Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT).

Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the documents D1 is not mentioned in the description, nor is this document identified therein.

The reference to prior art appears particularly relevant in view of the one part form of Claim 1, see also the PCT Guidelines, III, 2.3a.

The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).